

**From:** Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 3/26/2012 4:14:29 PM  
**To:** "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>  
**CC:**  
**Subject:** Re: Dimock - Draft Subcontract for Review and Interpretation of Isotech Data

looks like the SOW work is fine for this company. The schedule is pretty aggressive, you should probably add a sentence that indicates they can ask for more time if they need it, or maybe increase their response time to 8 business days. That would make it the end of next week which is fine.

thanks for acting so quickly on this.

I looked at Joe spreadsheet and they look ok. He did consider the origin of the data (i.e. sink, treatment etc.) which is a good thing. I think once I learn better how to use excel I will be able to use these as a foundation to play with data and look for trends...

---

Richard Rupert,  
On-Scene Coordinator  
U.S. EPA  
1650 Arch Street - 3HS31  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
(215) 814-3463 - office  
215 514-8773 - mobile  
rupert.richard@epa.gov

"The commander in the field is always right and the rear echelon is wrong, unless proved otherwise."  
Colin Powell

**From:** "Graves, Suddha" <Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>  
**To:** Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US  
**Date:** 03/26/2012 03:44 PM  
**Subject:** Dimock - Draft Subcontract for Review and Interpretation of Isotech Data

Rupert,  
Attached is part of the draft subcontract for the review of Isotech data. Please let me know if the scope of work is what you really need.  
Please call if you have any questions.  
Thanks,

Suddha Graves  
**TechLaw, Inc.**  
2208 Warwood Ave  
Wheeling, WV 26003  
304-907-0632 (office)  
304-830-1441 (cell)  
304-232-5006 (fax)

[attachment "RFQ Echelon Applied Geoscience Consulting.wpd" deleted by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US]